ST.GALLEN SYMPOSIUM

Global Essay Competition 2026

Title: Sovereign Physical AI: Energy-Robot Symbiosis as a Way Out of the Triple Collision

Essay:

Introduction

At the World Economic Forum Annual Meeting of 2026, there was an interesting phenomenon unfolding while panels on the future of AI governance; demographics and the rising trend of trade wars were being discussed as if they are completely unrelated to one another. Peter Voser, Chairman of ABB, made a comment about energy, which was the underlying theme for many of the conversations. He stated that we must expand supply while using energy far more intelligently [1]. I believe that there is more to his statement than many people understand.

There is a basic fact that should concern us all. There are approximately 2 terawatts of clean energy capacity in U.S. interconnection queues — roughly 1.6 times the total U.S. grid capacity [2]. The clean energy exists (it generates power in Nevada from solar panels; it spins wind turbines in Texas; hydroelectric plants operate in Central Asia). However, the electrons generated by this clean energy are unable to reach the areas where the electrons are needed. The average time for connecting new renewable energy generation to the grid has increased to 4-7 years in key regions [3] — as such, localized near-blackout events have been reported in Virginia, where large numbers of data centers exist.

I find it ironic that we continue to discuss technology, demography, and geopolitics as individual crises that require individualized solutions. But what if the collision itself is the answer? What if, when viewed appropriately, these elements can work together as opposed to against one another? I would like to introduce a framework I am calling Sovereign Physical AI — rethinking humanoid robots as not just energy consuming entities to be managed but as energy infrastructure to be deployed. This may initially appear counterintuitive. Please stay with me.

Three Crises, One Blind Spot

The technological, demographic and geopolitical disruptions are well-documented but the connections between them are often overlooked. Robot development is no longer science fiction; Goldman Sachs estimates there will be a $38 billion robot market by 2035 and Morgan Stanley expects a $5 trillion market by 2050 [4, 5]. There are now humanoid robots (Optimus, G1) being sold with prices ranging from $20,000 to many multiples of that amount. However, what receives much less attention is the fact that the International Energy Agency estimates that data centre energy consumption will increase from 415 TWh in 2024 to 945 TWh in 2030 (the total energy consumption of Japan) [6]. Furthermore, that is only stationary computing. If a billion robots were to be deployed worldwide, each consuming 0.3-0.5 kW continuously [7], then an additional 300-500 GW of energy would be required. Where will this energy come from?

There is also a significant demographic disruption underway that has received even less attention. According to the EU Joint Research Centre, by 2060 approximately 39% of the EU's total emissions will be produced by individuals aged 65 or older [8]. What is interesting about this statistic is that we generally consider aging populations as victims of change who need help, support and eventually health care. They are increasingly becoming a significant carbon actor however. Additionally, these aging populations possess pension funds that represent trillions of dollars in value and are desperately seeking returns on investment in a very low yield world [9]. On the other hand, 85% of global workforce growth from 2020 through 2050 is projected to occur in Africa where the median age is 19 [10]. Every year twelve million young Africans enter job markets. Approximately three million formal jobs are created each year. The simple math just doesn't add up.

In addition, the geopolitical disruptions described above are causing problems for all parties involved. As Mark Carney said at Davos *"great powers have begun to use economic integration as a weapon"* [11]. Tariffs imposed by the United States have risen from 2.5% to 16.8%, one of the highest effective U.S. tariff levels in decades [12]. In such a scenario, dependence on anyone becomes a liability — dependence on energy supply systems being particularly hazardous.

All current solutions to address these disruptions are disjointed. Data centres are built wherever the grid can accommodate the added load. Immigration policies remain politically contentious in many aging societies, as the EBRD notes that governments with aging voters often *"favour ringfencing pensions and restricting migration"* [13]. The concept of "friend-shoring" appears to make sense until one realizes it merely relocates dependency from China to Vietnam without addressing the fundamental weakness of the system. It seems that we continue to apply band aids to what may ultimately require surgery.

An Integrated Framework

Inverting the default model: instead of generating power to deliver to industrial facilities, create industrial facilities at locations where the renewable energy is stranded. There is about 2 TW of power sitting in interconnection queues as real generation that cannot be connected to the grid [2]. Ship product (robots, solid state batteries) rather than electrons: you will save 5-10% on transmission loss and save money on building new transmission infrastructure to get the stranded asset monetized immediately. Solid-State battery progress increases the potential of this idea: solid-state batteries have been demonstrated to achieve >2x the Wh/kg of lithium-ion batteries and have achieved 5-minute charging times and nearly zero thermal-run-away risk at CES 2026 [14, 15]. A humanoid robot can act as mobile storage (0.5-1 kWh per unit): 10,000 robots would equate to 5-10 MWh of distributed storage. Charge your humanoid robot during the day when it is being powered by peak solar production while it is operating; then discharge your humanoid robot after sunset to help stabilize the evening peak load. A factory can now become three-in-one: generation, storage and manufacturing — physical AI as energy infrastructure, which reduces a nation's reliance on energy coercion.

The 39% carbon paradox: aging populations have patient capital seeking yield; young populations have labor seeking employment. Both populations can benefit from a Generational Energy-Robot Compact Bond (GERC Bond). An example: Nigeria (median population age 19 and strong solar) issues GERC Bonds at a rate of ~7%, with domestic investment from German pension funds (a median return of ~4%). Proceeds from the GERC Bonds are used to develop solar powered robot factories in Nigeria; the robots are then exported to Germany for elderly care. Nigeria benefits from the creation of new jobs and skills; Germany benefits from the additional care capacity and higher returns on their investments; both nations benefit from increased use of clean industries and therefore reduced carbon emissions. Additionally, GERC Bonds allow a way to circumvent the current gridlock surrounding immigration by implementing "robotic economic migration": robots receive work visas, pay taxes equivalent to those of labor, and send back (e.g., 10%) to the country of manufacture. If Germany were to deploy 1 million care robots priced at $50k each per annum, Nigeria would receive $5 billion in annual remittances. Robots do not vote or require housing and services; however, they produce tax revenues and unlock economic opportunities previously blocked due to immigration restrictions. EPMAs would be co-owned locally, audited, and bound to labor/environment standards, skills-transfer KPIs, and neutral arbitration.

Objections and Honest Doubts

There is certainly a valid concern regarding technological readiness. Critics may argue that both solid-state batteries and humanoid robots are still too immature. While this is somewhat true, Tesla has set its sights on having Optimus (a humanoid robot) retail for under $30,000 by 2027 [16]; Unitree's G1 (a humanoid robot) is already being sold for $13,500 [17]; ProLogium's solid-state gigafactory in Dunkirk is under development [18]. Regardless of our preparedness, the technology is coming. The real question is will we have the infrastructure to support it when it does?

Regarding investment risk, while there are certainly legitimate concerns related to sovereign risk associated with GERC bonds, emerging market debt has a troubled history. However, collateralizing the bonds through physical assets (i.e., actual energy infrastructure and/or manufacturing facilities), combined with multilateral guarantees from development banks, could result in investment grade ratings. As shown by Norway's sovereign wealth fund, long-term patient capital invested in infrastructure can deliver relatively stable returns [8]. It is simply necessary to structure investments to account for the risk appropriately, rather than ignoring that it exists.

Perhaps the most serious concern relates to job displacement. However, this framework creates jobs instead of eliminating them — manufacturing jobs in young economies, maintenance and oversight jobs worldwide. According to McKinsey, less than 6% of companies generate significant value from their AI efforts [19], largely due to failure to effectively integrate humans and AI. Teams that combine experienced workers' knowledge of the domain in which they are working with younger workers' technical ability consistently perform better than teams consisting solely of experienced workers or teams consisting solely of younger workers. In this context, the robots are not replacing the humans — the robots are creating new work that would not occur without them.

An ambitious framework such as this requires governance of a similar magnitude. For example, NVIDIA's Cosmos platform, which simulates vast numbers of future scenarios in order to train robots [20], represents one potential means of supporting democratic governance. What if we were able to simulate the effects of public policy decisions similarly? Voters could then see quantifiable projections of how specific infrastructure investments affect different generations over 30-50 years so not supplanting democratic decision making, but giving voters a longer temporal perspective than the next election cycle. Such simulations are starting to become technologically feasible. Whether we choose to utilize them is a matter of politics. Those models have to be independently audited, assumptions published, and all decisions reserved for elected officials.

Geopolitically, Sovereign Physical AI may represent a third alternative that does not rely upon American market access and does not lock member states into Chinese supply chains. Consider three levels of membership: Energy Sovereigns as Iceland, Morocco, or Kazakhstan contribute renewable energy. Manufacturing Sovereigns as Nigeria, India, or Vietnam provide manufacturing capacity. Capital Sovereigns as Germany, Japan, or Canada provide pension capital. In such a partnership, tariff-free trade of Physical AI component parts is enabled; GERC bond investors enjoy preferred status; and mutual energy assistance is enabled as part of the partnership (much as NATO's Article 5 is applicable to energy disruptions). This represents a form of cooperation neither American protectionism nor Chinese Belt and Road currently offer: technology transfer without the potential for debt traps; market access without the need for political subordination; and energy security without the need for dependence on fossil fuels.

A Possible Path Forward

Implementation could take many forms. By 2030: there would be pilot "Energy-Proximate Manufacturing" areas (EPMAs) in at least three different places around the world — West Texas using excess wind; Morocco building out an enormous solar facility; and Kazakhstan using hydroelectric power. There would be initial GERC bond issuances of maybe $50 Billion. And there would be a founding coalition of twelve countries. By 2040: the number of member countries would increase to forty countries that represent almost fifty percent of the world's GDP. In those forty member countries, there would be eight hundred gigawatts of previously stranded energy used productively. By 2050: two terawatts of clean energy would be deployed globally. The two terawatts of clean energy would support a global robot population that would serve aging populations while providing employment opportunities for youth. The first mover countries — Morocco is currently constructing the largest solar complex in Africa, Germany has an acute demographic crisis, Nigeria has the most workforce potential of any country on the continent — would likely have an advantage over the other countries — an advantage they can make durable by maintaining a per-class, subsystem-level BOM and a supply-chain risk scorecard tracking single-source exposure, export-control sensitivity, and lead times.

Conclusion

The combination of technological advancements, demographics, and geopolitical shifts will continue to escalate in intensity regardless of how well prepared we are. What I am trying to describe is one possible architectural design to transform this escalation from a destructive force into a creative one by converting stranded energy into clean robots, demographic capital into clean infrastructure, and robotic labor as a bridge between different age groups and geographic regions.

All the pieces are available. Two terawatts of clean energy are waiting. Trillions of dollars of pension funds need investment returns. One billion young people in Africa need jobs. An aging European and Japanese population needs care. Technology will arrive whether we are ready or not. What is needed is an architectural framework to bring all these things together and possibly some imagination to make it happen. This seems to be a good place to start.

Reference List / Bibliography / Sources:

1. Voser, P. (2026, January 21). *ABB chairman: Energy efficiency key to power next phase of AI growth* [Video]. CNBC. https://www.cnbc.com/video/2026/01/21/abb-chairman-energy-efficiency-key-to-power-next-phase-of-ai-growth.html
2. Rand, J., Manderlink, N., Zhang, S., Talley, C., Gorman, W., Wiser, R. H., Seel, J., Kemp, J., Jeong, S., & Kahrl, F. (2025). *Queued up: 2025 edition, characteristics of power plants seeking transmission interconnection as of the end of 2024.* Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. https://doi.org/10.2172/3008763
3. Arciniegas Rueda, I., Bain, R. J., Park, H. M., & Gill, D. (2025). *Accelerating large-scale grid infrastructure projects to win the AI race.* RAND Corporation. https://www.rand.org/pubs/perspectives/PEA4501-1.html
4. Goldman Sachs. (2024). *The global market for robots could reach $38 billion by 2035.* https://www.goldmansachs.com/insights/articles/the-global-market-for-robots-could-reach-38-billion-by-2035
5. Morgan Stanley. (2025). *Humanoid robot market could reach $5 trillion by 2050.* https://www.morganstanley.com/insights/articles/humanoid-robot-market-5-trillion-by-2050
6. International Energy Agency. (2025). *Energy and AI: Energy demand from AI*. IEA Publications. https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-and-ai/energy-demand-from-ai
7. Muru, J., & Rassõlkin, A. (2025). *A Scoping Review of Energy Consumption in Industrial Robotics.* Machines, 13(7), 542. https://doi.org/10.3390/machines13070542
8. European Commission Joint Research Centre. (2023). *Demography and climate change: New study explores links between two mega-trends of our century*. EU Science Hub. https://joint-research-centre.ec.europa.eu/jrc-news-and-updates/demography-and-climate-change-new-study-explores-links-between-two-mega-trends-our-century-2023-06-05_en

9. World Economic Forum & Allianz Global Investors. (2026, January). *How 'patient capital' can unlock the next wave of global growth*. https://www.weforum.org/stories/2026/01/how-patient-capital-can-unlock-the-next-wave-of-global-growth/

10. AUC/OECD. (2024). *Africa's Development Dynamics 2024: Skills, Jobs and Productivity*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/df06c7a4-en.

11. Carney, M. (2026, January). *Special address* [Speech]. World Economic Forum Annual Meeting 2026, Davos, Switzerland. https://www.weforum.org/stories/2026/01/davos-2026-special-address-by-mark-carney-prime-minister-of-canada/

12. Tax Foundation. (2026). *Trump Tariffs: Tracking the Economic Impact of the Trump Trade War*. https://taxfoundation.org/research/all/federal/trump-tariffs-trade-war/

13. European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD). (2025). Transition Report 2025–26: Demographic Change. https://www.ebrd.com/home/news-and-events/publications/economics/transition-reports/transition-report-2025-26.html

14. Donut Lab. (2026, January 5). *Donut Lab introduces the future of electrification at CES presenting world's first all-solid-state battery ready to power up production vehicles now* [Press release]. https://www.donutlab.com/ces-battery-announcement/

15. Ulrich, L. (2026, January 9). CES 2026: The first solid-state vehicle may be a motorcycle. IEEE Spectrum. https://spectrum.ieee.org/ces-2026-solid-state-batteries

16. Shamsuddoha, M., Nasir, T., & Fawaaz, M. S. (2025). *Humanoid Robots like Tesla Optimus and the Future of Supply Chains: Enhancing Efficiency, Sustainability, and Workforce Dynamics.* Automation, 6(1), 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/automation6010009

17. IEEE Spectrum. (2025, August 23). *Unitree G1.* In ROBOTS: Your guide to the world of robotics. https://robotsguide.com/robots/unitree-g1

18. ProLogium Technology. (2026, January 5). *ProLogium marks 20th anniversary at CES 2026, unveils breakthrough superfluidized all inorganic solid-state battery results* [Press release]. https://prologium.com/prologium-marks-20th-anniversary-at-ces-2026-unveils-breakthrough-superfluidized-all-inorganic-solid-state-battery-results/

19. McKinsey & Company. (2025). *The state of AI in 2025*. McKinsey Global Institute. https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/quantumblack/our-insights/the-state-of-ai

20. Agarwal, N., et al. (2025). *Cosmos World Foundation Model Platform for Physical AI.* arXiv:2501.03575. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.03575

Word Count (essay text only): (2098/2100)